

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/662,838	09/15/2003		Laurence F. Lyons	046374-0117	1220		
26371	7590	09/26/2005		EXAMINER			
	FOLEY & LARDNER 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE				FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		
SUITE 3800					PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKE	MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308						

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Sun	ımary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050915	U
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.		Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Attachment(c)				
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of the c	ertified copies not re	eceived.	
application from the Inte	ernational Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
		·	eceived in this National Stage	
1. Certified copies of the p2. Certified copies of the p	•		nlication No	
a) All b) Some * c) None				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a	• , •	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
11) The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Examiner	. Note the attached (Unice Action or form PTO-152.	
	•		e) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Applicant may not request that an	•	, ,	, ,	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 Sep</u>	•	☑ accepted or b)☐	objected to by the Examiner.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
Application Papers				
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or election	on requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_	CONSIDERATION.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending ir4a) Of the above claim(s)	• •	consideration		
· <u>_</u>	- Ale			
Disposition of Claims	•	•		
closed in accordance with the				
· <u> </u>	•		rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
1) Responsive to communication2a) This action is FINAL.	n(s) filed on 2b)⊠ This action	is non-final		
	/-\ E1- J			
If NO period for reply is specified above, the max Failure to reply within the set or extended period. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 Status	dmum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of th	application to become ABA	NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the	rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI		-		
Period for Reply	mmunication appears on	the cover sheet with	i the correspondence address	
The MAILING DATE of this co		nce D. Ferguson	1774	
Office Action Summa	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
		2,838	LYONS, LAURENCE F.	
	Аррис	cation No.	Applicant(s)	

DETAILED ACTION

Objection

1. Claim 30 is objected to. In instant claim 30, the term "perm" should be permeability. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 7-11, 14-19, 21 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartkemeyer (U.S. 4,684,562).

Hartkemeyer discloses a floor underlayment comprising an intermediate layer made of cork (first layer) disposed underneath a top sheet (third layer) and a liquid resistant bottom sheet (second layer) constructed of foam material, where the three layers are bonded together by an adhesive applied between the sheets (column 2, lines 20-37) where the mat is bonded to a base, such as a garage floor (column 1, lines 8-11 and 50-54) which are known to be concrete. Hartkemeyer further discloses the top layer can be formed of any material (column 3, lines 5-7). Because Hartkemeyer discloses a

Application/Control Number: 10/662,838 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

first and second layer bonded together, as claimed, the peel strength of the bond and permeability rating are inherent features. The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). Mere recitation of newly-discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in prior art, does not cause claim drawn to those things to distinguish over prior art. The Patent Office can require applicant to prove that subject matter shown to be in prior art does not possess characteristic relied on where it has reason to believe that functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in claimed subject matter may be inherent characteristic of prior art; this burden of proof is applicable to product and process claims reasonably considered as possessing allegedly inherent characteristics.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6, 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartkemeyer (U.S. 4,684,562).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,838 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Hartkemeyer is relied upon for claims 1 and 14. Hartkemeyer does not explicitly disclose width or thickness. Such features are properties which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. With regard to the limitation of the width and thickness, absent a showing of unexpected results, it is obvious to modify the conditions of a composition because they are merely the result of routine experimentation. The experimental modification of prior art in order to optimize operation conditions (e.g. width and thickness) fails to render claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. All of the aforementioned limitations are optimizable as they directly affect the durability of the flooring system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the flooring system with the limitations of the width and thickness since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartkemeyer (U.S. 4,684,562) in view of Thiers (U.S. 6,786,019).

Art Unit: 1774

Hartkemeyer is relied upon for claims 1 and 14. Hartkemeyer does not teach the third layer having a resistance to moisture permeability or including wood material. Thiers teaches a floor covering (column 1,lines 33-37) having a top layer consisting of a wood pattern and being impermeable and moisture proof (column 3,lines 15-35). Hartkemeyer and Theirs are both directed to flooring systems. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included the impermeable, moisture proof wood top layer, as taught in Thiers, in the top layer of the flooring system of Hartkemeyer because the moisture proof material provides protection for the floor from outside elements and from being ruined by mildew buildup.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is 571-272-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM – 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,838

Art Unit: 1774

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Ferguson

Patent Examiner

AU 1774

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A, U. 1774 9/19/05

Page 6